



# RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Metzler Asset Management GmbH





### About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

#### Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
<b>&amp;</b>	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



## Principles Index



Organisa	ntional Overview			Principle					General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG	·	8	n/a							
00 01	Signatory category and services	<b>✓</b>	Public							<b>√</b>
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	<b>✓</b>	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							<b>√</b>
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Public							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Public							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							<b>✓</b>
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	✓	Private							✓
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	✓	Private							✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	✓	Private							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	gy and Governance			Principle (			General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation						Principle C			General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	<b>✓</b>	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	<b>✓</b>	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	<b>✓</b>	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	~						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - I	Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership							le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		<b>✓</b>					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct - Fixed Income						Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	<b>✓</b>						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	✓	Private	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Public		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	~	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓	
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓	
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

## Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



#### **Basic information**

OO 01 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	○ 0%
	○ <10%
	O 10-50%
	>50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<b>0</b> 0%
	○ <10%
	O 10-50%
	○ >50%
Other	<b>0</b> 0%
	○ <10%
	O 10-50%
	○ >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

☐ Hedge funds

☐ Fund of hedge funds

00 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Information on Metzler Asset Management

We manage our **Equity** portfolios and aim to achieve long-term, risk-adjusted outperformance versus the benchmark or capital appreciation. Metzler Asset Management offers the management of different investment styles considering various market capitalization segments and regions. The most important decision-making level is the fundamental bottom-up stock selection with the inclusion of sustainability aspects.

Our **Fixed Income** product range covers strategies including corporate bonds, covered bonds and government bonds based on a combination of top-down and bottom-up. Portfolios are measured both in absolute terms and relative to a selected benchmark. The focus of our product range is on international government bond mandates, Euro-denominated aggregate and corporate mandates. For the latter we consider sustainability criteria.

With our **Fundamental Multi Asset** Strategies, we pursue an active and discretionary investment approach. In doing so, we rely on a broadly diversified strategic asset allocation (SAA) that is tailored as closely as possible to the individual risk-return profile of the investor.

Our **Absolute Return** & Capital Preservation strategies are strictly rule-based. While capital preservation concepts are exclusively "long only" strategies that are used to dynamically manage market risk exposure according to risk



budget guidelines, absolute return strategies can include "long" and "short" strategies that target excess returns independent of market movements. As part of our capital preservation strategies, we offer a risk overlay concept for clients with an asset allocation to be hedged.

Metzler also provides Real Estate Asset Advisory Services for indirect real estate investments.

Our **Pension Management** develops solutions for all pension systems. In addition to the financing vehicles CTA, Metzler Pensionsfonds AG and Metzler Sozialpartner Pensionsfonds AG (straight defined-contribution schemes, currently founded), we offer conceptual design and advice on capital investment strategies.

With the administration of "master funds" (the so-called German **Master-KVG**), Metzler Asset Management offers the consolidated administration of accounts/segments.

0 02	2	Man	datory	Public	Peering	General
	00 02	.1	Select the location of your organisa	tion's headquarters	i.	
_	Germa	any				
	OO 02	.2	Indicate the number of countries in	which you have off	ices (including your headquarters	).
	O 1					
	<b>②</b> 2-5					
	O 6-10	0				
	○ >10	)				
	OO 02	.3	Indicate the approximate number of	staff in your organ	isation in full-time equivalents (F	ГЕ).
			FTE			
	211					
	OO 02	.4	Additional information. [Optional]			

Metzler Asset Management has its headquarter in Frankfurt/Main and has offices in Tokyo and Dublin. Metzler has, moreover, a representative office in Beijing.

000	)3	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	General
	OO 03	.1	Indicate whether you have subsidia their own right.	ries within your org	anisation that are also PRI signa	tories in
	○ Yes No	i				
000	)4	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
	00 04	.1	Indicate the year end date for your	reporting year.		
	31/12/	2019				



00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		51	249	525	581
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		56	492	418	531

 $\hfill\square$  Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach



OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

O as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
	, ,	, , ,
Listed equity	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income	10-50%	<10%
Private equity	0	0
Property	<10%	<10%
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	<10%	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

`Other (1)` specified

Absolute Return & Capital Preservation



OO 06.2	Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
OO 06.3	Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].
○ Yes	
No	
OO 06.5	Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

 $\circ$  Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

000	OO 07 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose		Public	Gateway	General	
	00 07	.1	Provide to the nearest 5% the percenter reporting year, using the following of		of your Fixed Income AUM at the	end of your

Internally managed		SSA			
	73.75				
		Corporate (financial	)		
	8.41				
		Corporate (non-fina	ncial)		
	14.43				
		Securitised			
	3.41				
		Total			
	100%				
Externally managed		SSA			
_	10.02				
		Corporate (financial	)		
	44.99				
		Corporate (non-fina	ncial)		
	44.99				
		Securitised			
	0				
		Total			
	100%				
00 08	Mandatory to Re	port Voluntary to	Public	Peering	General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

00 08.1

**Disclose** 

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.



Asset class breakdown	Segregated mandate(s)	Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	Total of the asset class (each row adds up to 100%)
[a] Listed equity	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>● 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50 %</li></ul>	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50 %</li></ul>	100%
[b] Fixed income - SSA	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50 %</li></ul>	<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50 %</li></ul>	100%
[c] Fixed income – Corporate (financial)	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50 %</li></ul>	<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50 %</li></ul>	100%
[d] Fixed income – Corporate (non-financial)	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50 %</li></ul>	<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50 %</li></ul>	100%
[g] Property	<ul><li>○ 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>● &gt;50 %</li></ul>	<ul><li>● 0%</li><li>○ &lt;10%</li><li>○ 10-50%</li><li>○ &gt;50 %</li></ul>	100%

O 0	9	Mandat	ory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09	. <b>1</b> In	dicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	97.6	66				
			Emerging Markets			
	2.33	3				
			Frontier Markets			
	0.01					



**Other Markets** 0 Total 100% 100% Asset class implementation gateway indicators 00 10 **Mandatory Public** Gateway General 00 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year. Listed equity - engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Listed equity - voting ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf. ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf Fixed income SSA - engagement ☑ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not. Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf. ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not. Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) - engagement



☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.

☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG

factors. Please explain why you do not.

#### Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- $\square$  We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- O We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

**Property** 

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Commodities

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.



#### Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Money market instruments

- $\bigcirc$  We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### Other (1)

- $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \,}$  We address ESG incorporation.
- $\bigcirc$  We do not do ESG incorporation.

#### `Other (1)` [as defined in OO 05]

#### Absolute Return & Capital Preservation

00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	<ul> <li>□ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</li> <li>□ We do not do ESG incorporation</li> </ul>
Fixed income - SSA	
	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate (financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	✓ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	
	Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation



00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.

00 12	2	Mano	latory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 12.1		Below are all applicable modules or report (asset classes representing 7 Those which are voluntary to report	10% or more of	your AUM) are already ti	cked and read-only.
			Core modules			
	☑ C	Organisa	ational Overview			
	✓S	Strategy	and Governance			
			RI implementation directly or via s	service provider	'S	
			Direct - Listed Equity incorporate	tion		
	V	☑ Listed	Equity incorporation			
			Direct - Listed Equity active own	nership		
			gements			
	V		v) voting			
			Direct - Fixed Income			
	V	I Fixed	income - SSA			
	$\checkmark$	I Fixed	income - Corporate (financial)			
	<b>√</b>	I Fixed	income - Corporate (non-financial)			
		☐ Fixed	income - Securitised			
			Direct - Other asset classes wit	h dedicated mo	odules	
		Prope	rty			
			RI implementation via external ma	anagers		



	Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers					
	Listed Equities					
	Fixed income - SSA					
	Fixed income - Corporate (financial)					
	☐ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)					
	] Property					
	Closing module					
☑ C	closing module					
Peeri	ng questions					
OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General		
	5.00.000					
00 LE 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your intern (quant), active - fundamental and a	ally managed listed ctive - other strated	d equities by passive, active - qua	ntitative		
Perce	ntage of internally managed listed equiti	es				
	Passive					
5						
	Active - quantitative (quant)					
0	'					
	Active - fundamental and active	e - other				
95						
	Total					
100%						
OO LE	Additional information. [Optional]					
01.2						
Quantitat	ive models are primarily used in our absolu	te return and capita	al preservation investment strateg	ies.		
OO LE 02	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General		



OO LE 02.1 For strategies that account for less than 10% of your internally managed listed equities, indicate if you would still like to report your activities.

#### Report on strategy

Passive strategies

Yes

 $\bigcirc$  No

OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to	Public	Gateway	General
	Disclose			

OO FI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

SSA		Passive
	0.24	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	65.17	Active - quantitative (quant)
	65.17	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	34.59	
		Total
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		Passive
	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	0	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	100	
		Total
	100%	
Corporate (non- financial)		Passive
financial)	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	0	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	100	
		Total
	1067	
	100%	
	<u> </u>	

Securitised		Passive
	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	1.57	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	98.43	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.



OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.



Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>● &lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	○ >50% ○ 10-50% ● <10% ○ 0%	100%
Securitised	<ul><li>&gt;50%</li><li>10-50%</li><li>&lt;10%</li><li>0%</li></ul>	○ >50% ○ 10-50% ● <10% ○ 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

 $\square$  OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

00 8	OO SAM 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose		Public	Gateway	General			
OO SAM 01.1		<b>AM</b>	Provide a breakdown of your externally managed listed equities and fixed income by passive, active quant and, active fundamental and other active strategies.					

Listed equity (LE)	
	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - SSA	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - Corporate	
(financial)	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other
	100
	100%
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	Passive
	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)
	0

	Active - fundamental and active - other
100	
100%	



## Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



#### **Investment policy**

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

#### Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
$\hfill\Box$ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☑ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following			
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments				
☑ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account				
☐ Time horizon of your investment				
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities				
☑ ESG inco	☑ ESG incorporation approaches			
	☑ Active ownership approaches			
☑ Reporting				
☑ Climate compared to the	☑ Climate change			
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences				
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)				
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)				
SG 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.			

In the investment process for our equities and corporate bond funds, we not only consider financial criteria but also how companies integrate ecological, social and governance aspects. In particular, the aim is to further enhance the risk-return profile of our portfolios.

 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

☑ Attachment (will be made public)



Attachment

#### File 1:MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

**Attachment** 

File 1:MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Sector specific RI guidelines

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

File 1:MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Screening / exclusions policy

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL



URL

https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

File 1:MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Engagement policy

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

**URL** 

 $\frac{\text{https://ec.metzler.com/magnolia-author/de/dam/jcr:} 21b55b37-2d98-49a9-a575-3325c33c3f09/MAM-CM11660-Responsbile-Ownership-Policy.pdf}{\text{CM11660-Responsbile-Ownership-Policy.pdf}}$ 

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

File 1:MAM-CM11660-Responsbile-Ownership-Policy.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  (Proxy) voting policy

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

https://ec.metzler.com/magnolia-author/de/dam/jcr:48a9cb09-112a-452a-9d89-e00052dcab5f/MAM-MetzlerAM-Proxy-Voting-Richtlinien-e-07-2017m.pdf

☑ Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

File 1:MAM-MetzlerAM-Proxy-Voting-Richtlinien-e-07-2017m.pdf

 $\square$  We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents



SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

**☑** URL

**URL** 

 $\underline{\text{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

☑ Attachment

File Attachment

MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

☑ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

File Attachment

MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Attachment



File Attachment

#### MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

 $\ oxdot$  ESG incorporation approaches

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf}$ 

File Attachment

MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

☑ Active ownership approaches

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL

URL

 $\underline{\text{https://ec.metzler.com/magnolia-author/de/dam/jcr:} 48a9cb09-112a-452a-9d89-e00052dcab5f/MAM-\underline{MetzlerAM-Proxy-Voting-Richtlinien-e-07-2017m.pdf}}$ 

☑ Attachment

File Attachment

MAM-MetzlerAM-Proxy-Voting-Richtlinien-e-07-2017m.pdf [107KB]

☑ Reporting

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL



**URL** 

https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Attachment

File Attachment

MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

☑ Climate change

URL/Attachment

**☑** URL

**URL** 

https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf

☑ Attachment

File Attachment

MAM-ESG-Policy-e-11-2017.pdf [78KB]

☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Compliance means "acting in accordance with current law". Strictly speaking, this refers to practices that ensure fairness, solidarity and trust in dealing with clients, banks and employees based on the current laws and guidelines for protecting investors.

We impose high **ethical standards** on ourselves and our staff to prevent advisory services, order execution or asset management services being influenced by outside interests. We expect careful, honest, lawful and professional conduct at all times, compliance with market standards and, in particular, close attention to the interests of our clients. Our staff are required to comply with these standards and obligations.

We have an **independent compliance office** which reports directly to the management. It is responsible for identifying, avoiding and managing conflicts of interest also in the matters concerning the investment process. We will disclose unavoidable conflicts of interest to the client affected before the conclusion of a transaction or before providing advisory services. Further details of the present principles and the handling of conflicts of interest are available on request.



Regarding the investment process, we possess with MIG21 (GX Compliance) an automatic controlling system to check the compliance of **legal**, **contractual and internal restrictions**.

O No

## Objectives and strategies SG 05 **Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed** General Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible SG 05.1 investment activities. Quarterly or more frequently Biannually Annually O Less frequently than annually O Ad-hoc basis O It is not set/reviewed SG 05.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Metzler Asset Management GmbH bundled its sustainability activities in a Sustainable Investment Office (SIO) in July 2019. The aim of the SIO is to effectively implement and further develop the ESG strategy of Metzler Asset Management. The SIO combines special expertise in ESG research, asset management, ESG reporting and client-specific advisory services. On a monthly basis the SIO and the ESG board review objectives for the responsible investment activities of Metzler Asset Management GmbH. Members of the ESG board are representatives of Asset Management (Sustainable Investment Office, Reporting, Portfolio Management, Sales).

	Gover	nanc	e and human resources			
SG 0	7	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	General
	SG 07.1	1	Indicate the internal and/or external they have oversight and/or implementations.			ach whether
			Roles			
	☑ Bo	oard m	nembers or trustees			
		☑ Ov	ersight/accountability for responsible	investment		
		☑ Imp	plementation of responsible investme	nt		
		□ No	oversight/accountability or implemen	ntation responsibility	y for responsible investment	
	☑ In	ternal	Roles (triggers other options)			



## Select from the below internal roles

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Sustainable Investment Office (SIO)
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Portfolio managers
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Investment analysts
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Investor relations
☐ Other role, specify (1)
☐ Other role, specify (2)
☑ External managers or service providers
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Metzler Asset Management GmbH bundles its sustainability activities in a Sustainable Investment Office (SIO). The aim of the SIO is to effectively implement and further develop Metzler's ESG strategy. The SIO combines special expertise in ESG research, asset management, ESG reporting and client-specific advisory services.

The experts coordinate and process the ESG integration centrally for the portfolio management and also belong to a special ESG Board, where sustainability topics are regularly discussed.

All fundamental oriented **portfolio managers** carry out - similar to analysts - evaluations of markets, sectors, issuers and securities. In our view, it is thus inevitable that they carry the ultimate responsibility for their decisions.

The **Compliance Office** at Metzler is responsible for monitoring and controlling sustainability efforts, the extent to which set targets and associated measures are achieved or implemented, and for coordinating Group-wide efforts to achieve sustainable business operations. It is in constant dialogue with all business units in order to coordinate internal and external communication. The Compliance Office reports directly to the Board of Management.



**Service providers**: MSCI ESG Research, ISS ESG, Sustainalytics and BMO Global Asset Management deliver ESG analysis and respective reports.

	SG 07	.3	Indicate the number of dedicated	responsible in	vestment staff your organisat	ion has.
			Number			
	7					
SG 0	7 CC		datory to Report Voluntary to close	Public	Descriptive	General
	SG 07 CC	.5	Indicate the roles in the organisat responsibilities for climate-related		oversight, accountability and/o	or management
			Board members or trustees			
		☑ As	versight/accountability for climate-resessment and management of climoresponsibility for climate-related is	nate-related iss	sues	
			Chief Executive Officer (CEO Investment Committee	)), Chief Invest	ment Officer (CIO), Chief Risl	k Officer (CRO),
			versight/accountability for climate-re	elated issues		
		☑ As	sessment and management of clim	nate-related iss	sues	
		□ No	responsibility for climate-related is	ssues		
			Other Chief-level staff or hea	ds of departme	ents	
			ersight/accountability for climate-re	elated issues		
		☑ As	sessment and management of clim	nate-related iss	sues	
		□ No	responsibility for climate-related is	ssues		
			Portfolio managers			
			ersight/accountability for climate-re	elated issues		
			sessment and management of clim		sues	
		□ No	responsibility for climate-related is	ssues		
			Investment analysts			
			versight/accountability for climate-re	elated issues		
		☑ As	sessment and management of clim	nate-related iss	sues	
		□No	responsibility for climate-related is	sues		



Dedicated responsible investment staff
☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
☑ Assessment and management of climate-related issues
☐ No responsibility for climate-related issues
External managers or service providers
☑ Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
☑ Assessment and management of climate-related issues
☐ No responsibility for climate-related issues
SG 07.6  For board-level roles that have climate-related issue oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how these responsibilities are executed.
2016 a dedicated Group-wide ESG board has been established. Within their oversight function ESG aspects including climate change related risks and opportunities are being discussed on a regular basis. The board is additionally reviewing the Group's sustainability report which includes climate change-related key performance indicators as well.
SG 07.7 CC For management-level roles that assess and manage climate-related issues, provide further information on the structure and processes involved.
The Sustainable Investment Office reports directly to the Chief Investment Officer. The CIO is accountable for the performance of the investment strategies and conducts quarterly performance reviews with each portfolio manager. ESG considerations are an important aspect of the performance review. Detailed ESG portfolio analysis including climate change related indicators are being discussed, e. g. low carbon transition score of the strategy, 2 degree alignment, fossil fuel reserves (stranded assets) or how climate change-linked opportunities are captured.
SG 07.8 CC Indicate how your organisation engages external investment managers and/or service providers or the TCFD recommendations and their implementation.
☑ Request that external managers and/or service providers incorporate TCFD into mainstream financial filings (annual financial reports, other regulatory reporting or similar)
☑ Request incorporation of TCFD into regular client reporting
☐ Request that external managers complete PRI climate indicator reporting
$\square$ Request responses to TCFD Fund Manager questions in the PRI Asset Owner Guide
□ Other
$\hfill\square$ We do not engage with external managers and/or service providers on the TCFD recommendations and their implementation
Promoting responsible investment

SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.



## Select all that apply

		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	□ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
		ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
	Director/ESG	gues of Director/ESG integration with PRI staff. integration attended further PRI-events in Germany. at "PRI in Person" Conference in Paris (2019).
	Asian Corpora	ate Governance Association
	Australian Co	uncil of Superannuation Investors
$\Box$ A	AVCA: Sustai	nability Committee
□ F	rance Invest	– La Commission ESG
	BVCA – Resp	onsible Investment Advisory Board
☑ (	CDP Climate	Change
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	☐ Advan	ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
5	Signatory	
☑ (	CDP Forests	
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	☐ Advan	ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
5	Signatory	
☑ (	CDP Water	



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)	
☑ Basic	
☐ Moderate	
☐ Advanced	
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]	
Signatory	
□ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity	
☑ Climate Action 100+	
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)	
□ Basic	
☐ Moderate	
☑ Advanced	

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

## Participation via our engagement partner BMO Global Asset Management.

☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
□ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Research Australia
☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
☑ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
German Investment Funds Association (BVI)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

The Sustainable Investment Office at Metzler Asset Management GmbH consults regularly on ESG issues with members of the BVI's ESG Working Group. This includes the integration of the guidelines for "Responsible Investing" into the BVI Rules of Good Conduct.

☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Green and Sustainabale Finance Cluster Germany
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Metzler Asset Management had an advanced role in the foundation of the Green and Sustainable Finance Cluster Germany. Moreover, Metzler Asset Management is in the respective board.
☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlagen (FNG)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
□ Basic
☐ Moderate
☑ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Since 2002, Metzler Asset Management GmbH has been a member of "Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlagen (FNG)", an industry association promoting sustainable investment. This association aims to raise awareness of sustainable solutions in investing and applying them to make a positive impact on the direction of social and environmental change.



Association For The Promotion Of Ethics And Sustainability In Investment (CRIC)

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

		Your organi	sation's role in the	initiative during	the reporting year (see definition	ons)
	□ Ва	asic				
	□ M	oderate				
	☑ Ac	dvanced				
		Provide a bi [Optional]	rief commentary o	n the level of yo	ur organisation's involvement in	the initiative.
	areas of a		agement, research		full member of CRIC e.V. The as on of awareness for responsible	
	Other coll	aborative organisa	ation/initiative, spe	cify		
SG 10	Mar	ndatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
s	G 10.1	Indicate if your of initiatives.	organisation promo	otes responsible	investment, independently of c	ollaborative
•	) Yes					
	SG 10.2	independer the objectiv	ntly of collaborative	e initiatives. Prov	ken to promote responsible inve- vide a description of your role in typical frequency of your	
	educati		be for clients, inve		this includes peer to peer RI sers, actuaries, broker/dealers, in	
		Descrip	otion			
	• Tra • Tra • Par	ining of clients regining of Metzler Pe	arding our ESG re ension Manageme	porting for a be nt linked to new	CSR directive into German law. tter understanding of ESG criter ESG regulation for pension fun an financial authority) working g	ds.
		Freque	ncy of contribution			
	•	Quarterly or more	frequently			
		Biannually				
	0	Annually				
		Less frequently th	an annually			
		Ad hoc	-			
	0	Other				
	☑ Prov	ided financial supp	oort for academic o	or industry resea	arch on responsible investment	



## Description

Sponsoring of the Green Finance Cluster which offers a continuous platform for dialogue around topics relating to green and sustainable finance that involves all relevant stakeholder groups, ranging from businesses, the public, lawmakers and regulators to scientists and academics.

	Frequency of contribution
O Quarterl	y or more frequently
O Biannua	lly
<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>	1
O Less fre	quently than annually
O Ad hoc	
Other	
Provided innu	it and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

## Description

- Cooperation with Frauenhofer Institute (Academia) called Metzler meets Science. Several workshops and events linked to sustainability.
- Within the portfolio management team we are hosting 3-5 working students e. g. from the Frankfurt School of Finance and Management and Goethe University Frankfurt per year as a part of the academia knowledge exchange program.

## Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc
- Other
- ☑ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

## Description

- Training for clients regarding the implementation of the CSR directive into German law as well as ESG reporting for client portfolios.
- Organization of the German Church Investment Forum for financial experts from churches, church institutions and church banks. This has become a platform for dialogue around topics relating to sustainable finance in Germany.
- Moreover, Metzler Asset Management organizes on a regular basis ESG events for institutional investors.



		Frequency of contribution
	<ul><li>Quarterly</li></ul>	y or more frequently
	O Biannual	lly
	O Annually	
	O Less free	quently than annually
	O Ad hoc	
	Other	
☑ 5	Spoke publicly	y at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

## Description

- Frankfurt School of Finance and Management 12. annual conference "Sustainable investments" CRIC "ESG and voting"
- Metzler ESG conference for corporates
- Pension round table, organized by BaFin (Financial Authority Germany)
- "Leiter BaV ESG Round Table", organized by the magazine "Leiter BaV"
- Church Investment Forum for financial experts from churches, church institutions and church banks, a platform for dialogue around topics relating to sustainable finance.

Frequency of contribution
Quarterly or more frequently
○ Biannually
○ Annually
○ Less frequently than annually
○ Ad hoc
○ Other
$\square$ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
☐ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
$\square$ Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
☑ Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

## Description

- ESG: strategy (client brochure 2019/10): Introducing QbrickS and taking sustainability integration to the next level in portfolio management
- ESG: update (client brochure 2019/10): Taking ESG integration to the next level with new experts and an innovative approach
- Portfolio Insight (client brochure 2019/01): Climate change and investments
- Portfolio Insight (client brochure 2019/02): Consistently sustainable
- Portfolio Insight (client brochure 2019/03): QbrickS Make ESG investable
- Leiter BaV
- · Handelsblatt DUB Unternehmermagazin
- Fondsprofessionell
- Absolut Impact
- European Business School Newsletter
- dpn



			Frequency of contribution			
	•	Quarterly	or more frequently			
	0	Biannually	/			
	$\circ$	Annually				
	0	Less frequ	uently than annually			
	$\circ$	Ad hoc				
		Other				
			RI advisory committees/ w			
			of, or officially advising, oth	er RI organisat	ions (e.g. local SIFs)	
		r, specify				
(	○ No					
	Outsourcin	ng to fidu	iciary managers and ii	vestment co	onsultants	
SG 12	Man	datory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
Ne	w selection	options r	nave been added to this	ndicator. Plea	se review your prefilled resp	onses carefully.
9	SG 12 1	Indicate	whether your organisation	uses investme	ent consultants	
	SG 12.1		whether your organisation	uses investme	ent consultants.	
	☐ Yes, we u	se investn	nent consultants	ı uses investme	ent consultants.	
	☐ Yes, we u	se investn		uses investme	ent consultants.	
	☐ Yes, we u	se investn	nent consultants	uses investme	ent consultants.	
	□ Yes, we u	se investm not use ir	nent consultants	uses investme	ent consultants.	
	□ Yes, we us ☑ No, we do	se investn not use ir s in asse	nent consultants			
	□ Yes, we us ☑ No, we do	se investm not use ir	nent consultants	uses investme	ent consultants.  Descriptive	PRI 1
	□ Yes, we us ☑ No, we do	se investm o not use ir s in asse	nent consultants nvestment consultants.	Public	Descriptive	
SG 13	□ Yes, we us ☑ No, we do	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  et allocation  whether the organisation	<b>Public</b> carries out scer		g, and if it does,
SG 13	□ Yes, we us ☑ No, we do ESG issues Man	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  et allocation  whether the organisation	<b>Public</b> carries out scer	<b>Descriptive</b> nario analysis and/or modelling	g, and if it does,
SG 13	☐ Yes, we use  ☑ No, we do  ESG issues  Man	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  et allocation  whether the organisation	<b>Public</b> carries out scer	<b>Descriptive</b> nario analysis and/or modelling	g, and if it does,
SG 13	☐ Yes, we use  ☑ No, we do  ESG issues  Man	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scenar	<b>Public</b> carries out scer	<b>Descriptive</b> nario analysis and/or modelling	g, and if it does,
SG 13	☐ Yes, we use  ☑ No, we do  ESG issues  Man	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scenar	<b>Public</b> carries out scer	<b>Descriptive</b> nario analysis and/or modelling	g, and if it does,
SG 13	☐ Yes, we us  ☑ No, we do  ESG issues  Man  GG 13.1  ☑ Yes, in ord	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nvestment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scenarioses future ESG factors  cribe	Public carries out scer rio analysis (by	<b>Descriptive</b> nario analysis and/or modelling	g, and if it does, asset allocation,
SG 13	Yes, we use No, we do  ESG issues  Man  GG 13.1  Yes, in ord  In the inve	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nivestment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scena less future ESG factors  cribe ocess for our equities and a deal with ESG risks. We	Public carries out scerio analysis (by	Descriptive  nario analysis and/or modelling asset class, sector, strategic a	g, and if it does, asset allocation, asset allocation, asset allocation, and an ancial criteria but ortfolios. We have
SG 13	Yes, we use No, we do  ESG issues  Man  Yes, in ord  In the inversalso how of devloped a	se investment of not use in asset in as	whether the organisation a description of the scenarios future ESG factors  cribe occess for our equities and a deal with ESG risks. We tegration investment tool (	Public carries out scerio analysis (by corporate bondaim to enhance QBrickS) which	Descriptive  nario analysis and/or modelling asset class, sector, strategic asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class as asset class as a sector	g, and if it does, asset allocation, asset allocation, asset allocation, and an ancial criteria but ortfolios. We have
SG 13	Yes, we use No, we do  ESG issues  Man  Yes, in ord  In the inversalso how of devloped a	se investment of not use in asset in as	nent consultants nivestment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scena less future ESG factors  cribe ocess for our equities and a deal with ESG risks. We	Public carries out scerio analysis (by corporate bondaim to enhance QBrickS) which	Descriptive  nario analysis and/or modelling asset class, sector, strategic asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class as asset class as a sector	g, and if it does, asset allocation, asset allocation, asset allocation, and an ancial criteria but ortfolios. We have
SG 13	Yes, we use No, we do  ESG issues  Man  Yes, in ord  In the inversalso how of devloped a	se investment of not use in asset in as	whether the organisation a description of the scenarios future ESG factors  cribe occess for our equities and a deal with ESG risks. We tegration investment tool (	Public carries out scerio analysis (by corporate bondaim to enhance QBrickS) which	Descriptive  nario analysis and/or modelling asset class, sector, strategic asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class as asset class as a sector	g, and if it does, asset allocation, asset allocation, asset allocation, and an ancial criteria but ortfolios. We have
SG 13	Yes, we use No, we do  ESG issues  Man  SG 13.1  Yes, in ord  In the inverse also how of devloped as Yes, in ord	se investment of not use in asset in as	ment consultants investment consultants.  It allocation  whether the organisation a description of the scenarious description of the scenarious description of the scenarious describe  occess for our equities and a deal with ESG risks. We tegration investment tool (less future climate-related ricribe	Public carries out scer io analysis (by corporate bond aim to enhance QBrickS) which isks and oppor	Descriptive  nario analysis and/or modelling asset class, sector, strategic asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class asset class, sector asset class as asset class as a sector	nancial criteria but ortfolios. We have odeling.



In addition, we conduct regular climate scenario analysis with the help of the PRI PACTA tool.

	No, our organi	sation does not currently carry o	ut scenario analysis	s and/or modelling		
SG	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.					
		We do the following				
	☑ Allocation b	etween asset classes				
	☑ Determining	g fixed income duration				
	☑ Allocation o	f assets between geographic ma	rkets			
	Sector weig	htings				
	☐ Other, spec	ify				
	□ We do not d	consider ESG issues in strategic	asset allocation			
SG 13 CC	Mandate Disclose	ory to Report Voluntary to	Public	Descriptive	General	
SG				ysis to manage climate-related riserpreted, its results, and any futur		
$\checkmark$	Initial assessm	nent				
		Describe				
	and our overal Asset Manage conversations providers and linked to the m	I assets. The risks and opportuni ment and the portfolio managers with companies during 1:1 comp sell-side brokers. Based on conv	ities identified were The results were in cany meetings, the in rersations with clien and opportunities.	If the PRI PACTA tool on single st discussed within the ESG Board in line with our assessment based research we source from ESG res ts we decided to further increase In addition, we will revise our ES	of Metzler d on the search our efforts	
$\checkmark$	Incorporation i	nto investment analysis				
		Describe				
	Our ESG integration tool QbrickS includes climate change KPIs and analysis. The tool is used for portfolio construction, risk controlling and reporting.					
$\checkmark$	Inform active o	ownership				
		Describe				
1	companies du	ring 1:1 company meetings. In ac	ddition, via our Enga	e Investment Office discuss our fi agement Policy and together with s and opportunities are being add	our	
	Other					



SG 13.5 CC	Indicate who uses this analysis.
☑ Board me	embers, trustees, C-level roles, Investment Committee
☑ Portfolio r	nanagers
☑ Dedicated	d responsible investment staff
☐ External r	nanagers
☐ Investme	nt consultants/actuaries
☐ Other	
SG 13.6 CC	Indicate whether your organisation has evaluated the potential impact of climate-related risks, beyond the investment time horizon, on its investment strategy.
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	
	Describe
and 2 deg	I, our investment strategy always takes into account long-term risks and their potential impact. Our 1.5 gree scenario analysis goes beyond an usual investment time horizon as it takes into account climate and targets linked to the years 2030 and 2050.
○ No	
SG 13.7	Indicate whether a range of climate scenarios is used.

SG 13.8 CC

 $\hfill\square$  No, a range is not used

CC

☑ Analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario

☑ Analysis based on a 4°C or higher scenario

Indicate the climate scenarios your organisation uses.

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  Analysis based on an abrupt transition, consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response



Provider	Scenario used
IEA	☑ Beyond 2 Degrees Scenario (B2DS)
IEA	☐ Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) 2 Degrees scenario
IEA	☐ Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS)
IEA	☐ New Policy Scenario (NPS)
IEA	☐ Current Policy Scenario (CPS)
IRENA	□ RE Мар
Greenpeace	☐ Advanced Energy [R]evolution
Institute for Sustainable Development	☐ Deep Decarbonisation Pathway Project (DDPP)
Bloomberg	☐ BNEF reference scenario
IPCC	☐ Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5
IPCC	□ RPC 6
IPCC	□ RPC 4.5
IPCC	□ RPC 2.6
Other	☑ Other (1)
Other	□ Other (2)
Other	☐ Other (3)

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules



SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Property	For our indirect Real Estate holdings we use the same ESG process as for the equity and corporate bond funds (exclusion, ESG integration, engagement).
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	For our Absolute Return & Capital Preservation funds we use a similar ESG process as for the equity and corporate bond funds (exclusion, ESG integration, exposure). The ESG process is limited due to derivatives and primarily considers the physical portfolio of the investment strategies.

SG 17	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General

SG 17.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Listed equities - ESG incorporation	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Listed equities - engagement	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Listed equities - (proxy) voting	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Fixed income - SSA	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Fixed income - Corporate (non- financial)	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.
Property	Our ESG policy adresses our commitment to a sustainable business and the incorporation of responsible investment considerations within the selection process of our portfolio managers as well as the monitoring of the respective processes. In those cases where we collaborate with other managers and business partners we aim to work with UN PRI signatories. All external partners are monitored on a consistent basis, e. g. by accessing their public PRI Reports.



## **Innovation**

SG 18 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

Yes

SG 18.2

Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.

With QbrickS, the new approach of integrating sustainability into our discretionary investment process for equity, fixed income and multi-asset strategies. Due to its innovative nature, QbrickS was nominated in 2019 for an ESG Award as "most innovative ESG product".

With Qbricks we provide our clients with a tool-box that enables them to take advantage of economically relevant sustainability aspects when allocating assets capital. This concept is forward-looking and fully customizable given its modular structure. QbrickS allows individual preferences (and restrictions) related to sustainable investing to be embedded into a macro-economically sound but fully discretionary asset allocation process. Clients can utilize QbrickS to subject negative (exclusion) and positive (inclusion) lists to stress testing. They can also use the tool it to define their preferences for issues like climate change, the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), risk premiums, and sector or regional allocations. This capability is unique and differentiating. Ultimately, QbrickS manages to tie longer-term sustainability aspects with tactical and strategic portfolio allocation. With this, we seek to lower portfolio risk, increase potential to generate excess returns and reduce transaction costs. Aside from valuable insights for Metzler's own portfolio risk management, QbrickS provides important guidance for active engagement with the companies in which we invest - a prerequisite for assessing corporate management quality and its ability to create value in the long run.

For a comprehensive description of our innovative investment approach please use the following link https://www.metzler.com/downloads/Metzler/Download-Dokumente-MAM/Nachhaltigkeit/MAM-ESG-Strategie-lang-en.pdf

O No

## Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly



				the same

Yes

 $\bigcirc$  No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
O Broad approach to ESG incorporation	
Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/fund-prices-und-documents	
URL	
https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/esg-integration/reports-and-publications	

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- $\bigcirc$  We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\bigcirc$  We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

○ No



Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy	
☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals	
✓ Number of engagements undertaken	
☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic	
☑ Breakdown of engagements by region	
$\ensuremath{ert}$ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives	
☐ Examples of engagement cases	
☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)	
☑ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured	
☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement	
☐ Other information	
□ Quarterly or more frequently □ Biannually □ Annually □ Less frequently than annually □ Ad-hoc/when requested  URL  https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/esg-integration/ESG-investment-process	
Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting	
Do you disclose?	
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.	
We disclose to the public	
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	
Yes	
○ No	



Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
<ul> <li>Disclose all voting decisions</li> </ul>
Disclose some voting decisions
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
and votes against management
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
□ Biannually
☑ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad hoc/when requested
URL  https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/esg-integration/ESG-investment-process
URL
https://www.metzler.com/en/dam/jcr:59f1ef79-02ca-4f5f-a501-e4247ab439f7/MAM-All-Votes-Report-2019.pdf
Fixed income
Do you disclose?
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
We disclose to the public



The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

YesNo

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
O Broad approach to RI incorporation	
<ul> <li>Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</li> </ul>	
	T
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly	
□ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	
	T
URL	
https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/fund-prices-und-documents	
URL	
https://www.metzler.com/en/metzler/asset-management/esg-integration/reports-and-publications	

SG 19.2 Additional information [Optional]

For all equity and corporate funds we offer a dedicated ESG reporting on our website. Metzler Asset Management is regarded in the market as extremely sophisticated concerning ESG reporting.



# Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation** 

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

## Implementation processes **LEI 01 Mandatory Public Gateway** PRI 1 Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by **LEI 01.1** strategy or combination of strategies. ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply) ☐ Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies) ☐ Screening and integration strategies ☐ Thematic and integration strategies ☐ Screening and thematic strategies ☑ All three strategies combined Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/-5% 100 ☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

For all equity investments, exclusionary screening is applied based on 120 international norms and conventions. The data stems mostly from MSCI ESG Research. In cases of "very severe controversies", i.e. serious infringements of these standards, companies are excluded from our investment universe. Upon client request, we also take other aspects into account in the investment process, such as conclusions drawn from data provided by ISS ESG.

ESG integration is a specific strategy for more sustainable investments aimed at improving fund performance by integrating sustainability aspects into the traditional investment analysis process.

For example, we evaluate the economic impact of the following aspects on a company's business success:

- ESG scores issued by MSCI ESG Research
- · ESG momentum and ESG tilt strategies
- Sector-specific key performance indicators (KPIs)
- ESG aspects of company business models
- Impact of thematic trends such as aging society, plastic waste, climate change, digitalisation on risk and performance of investments



LEI 03 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1

LEI 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.

- - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
  - O We occasionally make this information available.
  - O We do not make this information available.
- ☑ (Proxy) voting
  - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
  - O We occasionally make this information available.
  - O We do not make this information available.

## (A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

## Type of screening

✓ Negative/exclusionary screening

## Screened by

- Activity
- Sector
- ☑ Country/geographic region
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☑ Corporate governance

Description

Upon client request, we apply negative/exclusionary screenings in the investment process, such as conclusions drawn from data provided by MSCI ESG Research or ISS ESG.

☑ Positive/best-in-class screening

## Screened by



☑ Product
☑ Activity
☑ Sector
☑ Country/geographic region
$\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Environmental and social practices and performance

Description

Upon client request, we also take best-in-class screenings into account in the investment process.

✓ Norms-based screening

## Screened by

- ☑ UN Global Compact Principles
- ☑ The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- ☑ International Labour Organization Conventions
- ☑ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- ☑ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- ☐ Other, specify

Description

For all equity investments, exclusionary screening is applied based on 120 international norms and conventions. The data stems mostly from MSCI ESG Research and ISS ESG. In cases of "very severe controversies," i.e. serious infringements of these standards, companies are excluded from our investment universe.

**LEI 04.2** 

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

The screening criteria are established by MSCI ESG Research or ISS ESG, particularly depending on client requirements.

The screening criteria are continuously monitored and depending on the significance of the changes we inform our clients either immediately or within our investment committees.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1



Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust LEI 05.1 analysis. ☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products. ☑ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies. ☑ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar. ☑ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies. ☑ Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list. ☑ A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions. ☑ A periodic review of internal research is carried out. ☑ Review and evaluation of external research providers. ☐ Other; specify ☐ None of the above

#### **LEI 05.2**

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- O 10-50%
- O 51-90%
- **●** >90%

## **LEI 05.3**

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

## **LEI 05.4**

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Bi-Annually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually

### **LEI 05.5**

Additional information. [Optional]

MSCI ESG Research data and ratings are used in the construction. The large MSCI global team of experienced research analysts assesses thousands of data points across 37 ESG issues, focusing on the intersection between a company's core business and the industry issues that can create significant financial risks and opportunities for the company. Companies are rated on a AAA-CCC scale relative to the standards and performance of their industry peers.

ISS ESG Corporate Ratings are based on a scientifically developed list of approx. 400 criteria. Intensive dialogue with companies and with independent sources, such as environmental and consumer associations, human rights organisations and anti-corruption initiatives, forms an important part of ISS ESG's rating processes.



(В	(B) Implementation: Thematic				
LEI 07	Mandato	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	LEI 07.1 Indicate the type of sustainability th		ity thematic funds c	or mandates your organisation ma	anages.
	□ Environm	nentally themed funds			
	☐ Socially t	hemed funds			
	☑ Combination of themes				
	LEI 07.2 Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]			tional]	

Despite conducting an ESG integration across all equity and fixed income strategies we launched our first sustainability themed mutual fund in 2016, the "Metzler European Dividend Sustainability Fund". Launching a new fund follows usually a market investigation and intense dialogs with our investors and their sustainability preferences in combination with economic factors. In 2019 we took the decision to launch a further sustainability themed mutual fund in the area of capital preservation called "Metzler Wertsicherungsfonds 90 ESG".

# (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1 LEI 08.1 Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion	impacted by analysis
Environmental		
		Environmental
	O <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	<b>o</b> >90%	
Social		
		Social
	O <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	>90%	
Corporate		
Governance		Corporate Governance
	○ <10%	
	○ 10-50%	
	○ 51-90%	
	>90%	

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional

Our systematic reviews are based on ESG data provided by MSCI ESG Research and ISS ESG for 179 sub-industries.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1



LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robus analysis.
	ensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
	es are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them naccuracies
☑ Third-part	y ESG ratings are updated regularly
☑ A periodic	review of the internal research is carried out
	d, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or vestments team
☑ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against benchmark
☑ Analysis of the second	of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
☐ Other; spe	ecify
☐ None of the	ne above
LEI 09.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.
○ <10%	
○ 10-50%	
○ 51-90%	
● >90%	
LEI 09.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.
<ul><li>Quarterly</li></ul>	or more frequently
O Bi-Annual	ly
O Annually	
O Less frequ	uently than annually
LEI 09.4	Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.
O Quarterly	or more frequently
O Bi-Annual	ly
<ul><li>Annually</li></ul>	
O Less frequ	uently than annually

**LEI 09.5** Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

☑ ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff

 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions

☐ Other; specify

☐ None of the above



LEI 09.6

Additional information. [Optional]

Each portfolio manager accesses the MSCI ESG Research database and uses these industry-specific information with the aim of a better performance through ESG integration into its financial analysis.



# Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership** 

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ve		

LEA 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 01.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

**LEA 01.2** 

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

URL

 $\frac{\text{https://www.metzler.com/de/dam/jcr:}21b55b37-2d98-49a9-a575-3325c33c3f09/MAM-CM11660-Responsbile-Ownership-Policy.pdf}{\text{Number of the properties of t$ 

**LEA 01.3** 

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- $\ensuremath{\square}$  ESG issues
- ☑ Prioritisation of engagement
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Transparency of engagement activities
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☐ Insider information
- ☐ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☐ Other; (specify)
- $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$  (Proxy) voting approach



PRI 2

Voting
☑ ESG issues
☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
✓ Methods of voting
☑ Transparency of voting activities
☑ Regional voting practice approaches
☑ Filing or co-filing resolutions
☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote
☑ Decision-making processes
☐ Securities lending processes
☐ Other; (specify)
□ Other
☐ None of the above
○ No
LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?
Yes
Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:
☑ Outline of service provider`s role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
☑ Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
☑ Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
☑ Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
☐ Description of service provider monitoring processes
☐ Other; (specify)
☐ None of the above
○ No

LEA 01.6

Additional information [optional]

Metzler Asset Management GmbH has mandated BMO Global Asset Management. BMO Global Asset Management and the portfolio managers themselves discuss business-relevant ESG challenges in their talks with companies. BMO Global Asset Management also exercises voting rights and prepares ESG engagement reports, including the engagement milestones reached.

BMO Global Asset Management's approach to engagement is based on constructive and confidential company dialogue and on building a relationship of trust, where over time we seek to gain a sound understanding of how companies manage the key ESG issues linked to their activities. We will encourage improvement in ESG management should they deem existing practices insufficient to respond to ESG risks and/or opportunities.

**Engagement** 



LEA 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1,2,3

**LEA 02.1** 

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\square$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

**LEA 02.3** 

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☑ We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  We select the companies to be engaged with
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☑ We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- ☑ We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- $\square$  We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

 $\bigcirc$  No

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 03.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

**LEA 03.2** 

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff	
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements
	☑ Geography/market of the companies
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure
	☑ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Client request
	☑ Breaches of international norms
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Service-provider	
engagements	Service-provider engagements
	☑ Geography/market of the companies
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure
	☑ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☑ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☑ Client request
	☑ Breaches of international norms
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{No}$ 

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2



New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>All engagement activities</li> <li>Majority of engagement activities</li> <li>Minority of engagement activities</li> <li>We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers</li> </ul>

Walidatory Fubic Cole Assessed FRI 2	LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------------------------------------	--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**LEA 05.1** Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, in all cases</li> <li>Yes, in a majority of cases</li> <li>Yes, in a minority of cases</li> <li>We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.</li> </ul>

**LEA 05.2** 

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul> <li>☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>☐ Other; specify</li> </ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs</li> <li>☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met</li> <li>☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis</li> <li>☐ Other; specify</li> </ul>

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2,4

**LEA 06.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

**LEA 06.2** 

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- ☑ Collaborating with other investors
- ☐ Issuing a public statement
- ☑ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- ☑ Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- ☑ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- ☑ Submitting nominations for election to the board
- ☐ Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- ☑ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- □ Divestment
- ☐ Other; specify

 $\bigcirc$  No

LEA 07 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.



Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>

15	Δ	07	2

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- $\hfill\square$  Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- ☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- ☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- ☑ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- ☐ Other; specify
- □ None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul><li>Yes, systematically</li><li>Yes, occasionally</li><li>No</li></ul>

**LEA 07.4** 

Additional information. [Optiona

We provide to our clients an individual engagement report, which systematically reports the engagement success at fund level.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

**LEA 08.1** 

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li><li>Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li><li>We do not track</li></ul>
Service-provider engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements</li> <li>We do not track</li> </ul>

## **Outputs and outcomes**

LEA 09 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to
Disclose Public Core Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 09.1** 

Indicate the proportion of companies in your listed equities portfolio with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year.

	We did not complete any engagements in the reporting year.	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)	Proportion of companies engaged with, out of total listed equities portfolio
Individual / Internal staff engagements		60	3.7
Service-provider engagements		510	20.09

**LEA 09.2** 

Indicate the breakdown of engagements conducted within the reporting year by the number of interactions (including interactions made on your behalf).



No. of interactions with a company	% of engagements
	○ >76%
One interaction	
	○ 11-50%
	○ 1-10%
	○ None
	○ >76%
2 to 3 interactions	○ 51-75%
	<b>11-50%</b>
	○ 1-10%
	○ None
	○ >76%
More than 3 interactions	○ 51-75%
	<b>11-50%</b>
	○ 1-10%
	○ None
Total	
	100%

**LEA 09.4** 

Indicate the percentage of your service-provider engagements in which you had some involvement during the reporting year.

Type of engagement	% of engagements with some involvement
Service-provider engagements	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
	● <10%
	○ None

LEA 09.5

Additional information. [Optional

Individual / internal staff engagements are primarily carried out by the portfolio management during investment dialogues with companies. The portfolio managers themselves discuss business-relevant ESG challenges in their talks with companies.

LEA 11 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 11.1

Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation or your service provider carried out during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1



ESG Topic	Climate Change
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☑ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	☐ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted	□ Individual / Internal
by	☑ Service provider
Objectives	
Objectives	Repsol SA - Extended its GHG emission target to net zero in 2050
Scope and Process	Pledged to be carbon neutral by 2050 to comply with environmental goals set by the Paris Agreement. The company is the first in its industry to set this ambitious goal, which aims to limit global warming to less than 2 degrees compared with pre-industrial levels. With this pledge, Repsol sets a new standard in the energy sector, which will be used to encourage peers to follow. We have engaged with the company on carbon management issues for a number of years.
Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	☑ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	□ Invested in company
	-



□ Other

☑ Add Example 2



ESG Topic	Pollution, Plastics
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	☑ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	□ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	□ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	☑ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted	□ Individual / Internal
by	✓ Service provider
01: "	
Objectives	Smurfit Kappa Group PLC - Became a founding member of paper-based packaging initiative
Scope and Process	Became a founding member of a new industry alliance to boost the contribution of paper-based packaging in a circular and sustainable economy. This will help the company improve the management of environmental risks and opportunities related to packaging, one of the material ESG issues we have engaged the company on.
Outcomes	☑ Company changed practice
	☐ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	□ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	□ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other
	LI Other

 $\square$  Add Example 3



☐ Add Example 4
☐ Add Example 5
☐ Add Example 6
☐ Add Example 7
☐ Add Example 8
☐ Add Example 9
$\square$ Add Example 10

## (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

**LEA 12.1** Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- $\odot$  We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$  We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

**LEA 12.2** 

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

See above.

LEA 13 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 13.1** 

Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate the percentage that was reviewed by your organisation, giving the reasons.



	Percentage of voting rec	ommendations your org	ganisation reviewed	
O 100-759	%,			
	),			
O 49-25%	),			
O 24-1%				
○ None				
	Reasons for review			
☑ Specific	environmental and/or social	l issues		
✓ Votes compared to the property of t	oncerning significant holding	gs .		
✓ Votes a	gainst management and/or a	abstentions		
☑ Conflict:	s of interest			
☐ Corpora	ate action, such as M &As, di	sposals, etc.		
□ Votes c	oncerning companies with w	hich we have an active	engagement	
☑ Client re	equests			
☐ Ad-hoc	oversight of service provider	r		
☐ Shareho	older resolutions			
☐ Share b	olocked securities			
☐ Other (e	explain)			
Man	detem	Dublic	Descriptive	DDI 2
Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of v			
⊃ 100%				
○ 99-75%				
⊚ 74-50%				
O 49-25%				
O 24-1%				
O Neither we	e nor our service provider(s)	raise concerns with cor	mpanies ahead of voting	
LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for ra	ising your concerns with	h these companies ahead	of voting.
☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned selected markets			
☑ Vote(s) co	encerned selected sectors			
☑ Vote(s) co				
☑ Vote(s) co	ncerned certain ESG issues			
	oncerned certain ESG issues oncerned companies exposed		cific ESG issues	
☑ Vote(s) co		d to controversy on spe	cific ESG issues	
✓ Vote(s) co ✓ Client requ	oncerned companies exposed oncerned significant sharehol	d to controversy on spe	ecific ESG issues	



LEA 15.3

Mandatory

**LEA 16** 

Additional information. [Optional

Information provided by our engagement provider BMO Global Asset Management.

**Public** 

**Core Assessed** 

PRI 2

LEA	16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.				
O 10	0%					
⊚ 99	-75%					
O <b>7</b> 4	-50%					
O 49	-25%					
O 24	-1%					
$\circ$ W	e do not	communicate the rationale to compa	anies			
	ot applica mmenda	able because we and/or our service pations	oroviders did no	ot abstain or vote against manag	gement	
LEA	16.2	Indicate the reasons why your orga abstaining or voting against manag			e rationale for	
☑ Vc	ite(s) co	ncern selected markets				
☑ Vc	te(s) co	ncern selected sectors				
☑ Vc	te(s) co	ncern certain ESG issues				
☑ Vc	te(s) co	ncern companies exposed to controv	ersy on specific	c ESG issues		
☑ Vo	te(s) co	ncern significant shareholdings				
☑ Cli	ient requ	uest				
□ Ot	her					
LEA ·	16.3	In cases where your organisation d management recommendations, inc			or voting against	
⊚ Ye	ns.					
O No						
17	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	
LEA ·	17.1	For listed equities in which you or y instructions, indicate the percentag			e (proxy) voting	
<ul><li>We</li></ul>	e do trad	ck or collect this information				
		Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)				
		%				
	98	%				

## Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- $\bigcirc$  Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings			
☑ Shares w	ere blocked			
☑ Notice, ba	allots or materials not received on time			
☐ Missed de	eadline			
☐ Geograph	ical restrictions (non-home market)			
□ Cost				
☐ Conflicts	of interest			
□ Holdings	☐ Holdings deemed too small			
$\ensuremath{\square}$ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)				
☐ Client request				
☐ Other (ex	plain)			

Public Additional Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA 18.1** 

**LEA 18** 

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Voluntary

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:



Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	82
Against (opposing) management recommendations	17
Abstentions	1

100%

O No, we do not track this information

**LEA 18.3** 

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

30

LEA 18.4 Additional information. [Optional

BMO Global Asset Management engaged approximately 30% of the companies in which it voted against management recommendations.

LEA 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

© Yes

○ No

LEA 19.2 Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.

- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Contacting the company's board
- ☑ Contacting the company's senior management
- $\square$  Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Initiating individual/collaborative engagement
- $\hfill\Box$  Directing service providers to engage
- $\hfill\square$  Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment
- ☐ Other



# Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Fixed Income** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

## Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		late profice plans
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Constraint at the state size
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	
Corporate (financial)		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0	
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
100%	

Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	100	
	100	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	
Securitised		Output in all the
		Screening alone
	0	
		The constitution of the co
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration along
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
		- Corectaing 4 integration strategies
	100	

	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0	
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
100%	

FI 01.2

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

For all of the above fixed-income investments, exclusionary screening is applied based on 120 international norms and conventions. The data stems mostly from MSCI ESG Research. In cases of "very severe controversies", i.e. serious infringements of these standards, companies are excluded from our investment universe. Upon client request, we also take other aspects into account in the investment process, such as conclusions drawn from data provided by ISS ESG.

ESG integration is a specific strategy for more sustainable investments aimed at improving fund performance by integrating sustainability aspects into the traditional investment analysis process.

FI 03	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that you	ır ESG research pr	ocess is robust:	
		hensive ESG research is undertaker vices	internally to deterr	mine companies' activities; and p	roducts
	✓ Issuers correct ina	are given the opportunity by you or y ccuracies	our research provid	der to review ESG research on th	em and
	☑ Issuer ir	nformation and/or ESG ratings are up	dated regularly to	ensure ESG research is accurate	!
	☑ Internal	audits and regular reviews of ESG re	esearch are underta	aken in a systematic way.	
		iality/sustainability framework is crea tunities for each sector/country.	ted and regularly u	pdated that includes all the key E	SG risks
	☐ Other, s	pecify			
	□ None of	the above			



FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
☑ ESG infor	rmation is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
☑ ESG infor	rmation is displayed on front office research platforms
☑ ESG infor similar documents	rmation is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or ments
☑ Investment committee m	nt staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment neetings
☐ Records of	capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
☐ Other, spe	ecify
☐ None of the	he above

## (A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1

FI 04.1

Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

#### Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Negative/exclusionary screening				
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Positive/best-in-class screening				
	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
Norms-based screening				

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

For all corporate bond investments, exclusionary screening is applied based on 120 international norms and conventions. The data stems mostly from MSCI ESG Research. In cases of "very severe controversies", i.e. serious infringements of these standards, companies are excluded from our investment universe.

Upon client request, we also take other aspects into account in the investment process, such as negative/best-inclass screenings drawn from data provided by ISS ESG.

For sovereign bonds, client specific strategies also include negative and positive lists, best-in-class and norm-based screenings.

Further, we have an independent compliance office which reports directly to management. It is responsible for identifying, avoiding and managing conflicts of interest also in the matters concerning the investment process. Compliance with ESG policies and guidelines is part of their responsibilities. We will disclose unavoidable conflicts of interest to the client affected before the conclusion of a transaction or before providing advisory services. Further details of the present principles and the handling of conflicts of interest are available upon request.

Regarding the investment processes, we possess with MIG21 (GX Compliance) an automatic controlling system to check the compliance of legal, contractual and internal restrictions.



FI 06 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 06.1

Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks				
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Negative/exclusionary screening	☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Positive/best-in-class screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				
	☑ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria				
Norms-based screening	☑ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.				
	☑ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria				
	☑ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ None of the above				

FI 06.2 Additional information. [Optional]

The Fund Risk Controlling department is implementing and controlling client-specific, contractual, legal and internal restrictions. There are ex-post as well as ex-ante controlling processes.

With MIG21 (GX Compliance) we possess an automatic controlling system to check the compliance of legal, contractual, client specific and internal restrictions. Such controlling is carried out ex ante that means before the order for the particular mandate is placed in the market by the Trading Desk. In addition, we conduct a daily expost compliance check of our holdings with the applicable restrictions. Therefore, it is nearly impossible, that violations of investment guidelines occur. If violations occur, they will be displayed to portfolio management automatically via MIG21 (GX Compliance). The portfolio manager is obliged to comment on any guideline breaches and to take immediate action to correct the violation. In case of breaches of contractual constraints due to market movements, we discuss with the client how to proceed, keeping the best interest of our clients in mind. Fund Risk Controlling is monitoring this process. Depending on the significance of the breaches, managing directors are informed as well. Our escalation procedures are clearly defined within our organizational handbook.



#### (C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 10.1

Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

ESG integration is a specific strategy for more sustainable investments aimed at improving fund performance by integrating sustainability aspects into the traditional investment analysis process.

For example, we evaluate the economic impact of the following aspects on a company's business success:

- ESG scores issued by MSCI ESG Research
- Sector and asset specific key performance indicators (KPIs)
- ESG aspects of company business models.

FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.

SSA

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial), Securitised and SSA.

Corporate (financial)

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial), Securitised and SSA.

Corporate (non-financial)

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial), Securitised and SSA.

Securitised

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial), Securitised and SSA.

FI 11 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 11.1 Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	Securitised
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	V	<b>Ø</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.		<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b></b>
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	V	<b>Ø</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>I</b>
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	V	<b>V</b>	V	<b></b>
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.				
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	V	<b>V</b>	<b>I</b>	<b></b>
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.	<b>V</b>	V	Ø	<b>V</b>
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	V	<b>V</b>
Other, specify in Additional Information				

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1

FI 12.1 Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.



	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	Environmental	Social	Governance
	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance
()	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance
financial)	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	○ Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	○ Not at all	○ Not at all	○ Not at all
Securitised	Environmental	Social	Governance
	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>	<ul><li>Systematically</li></ul>
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally
	O Not at all	O Not at all	O Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

We integrated SSA ESG Research data and country profiles from MSCI ESG research. The data includes time series until 2008. We conducted several quantitative analyses to understand the relationships between ESG government ratings and CDS spreads / SSA credit quality. Finally, our integration process is built upon using the E, S and G Scores of Government ESG Ratings in a systematic manner.

## Corporate (financial)

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial) and Securitised. The integration of sustainability criteria takes place throughout the entire investment process. The main goal here is to avoid exposure to material risks, while at the same time enhancing performance over the long term.

Corporate (non-financial)

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial) and Securitised.



Securitised

Our approach is the same for Corporate (financial), Corporate (non-financial) and Securitised.

Fixed income - Engagement								
		ndatory to Report Voluntary to close	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2			
		Indicate the proportion of your fixe engagements carried out solely in			exclude any			

Category	Proportion of assets
	○ >50%
SSA	○ 26-50%
	○ 5-25%
	• More than 0%, less than 5%
	FI 14.2 Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (SSA fixed income assets).
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\hfill\Box$ To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue
	○ >50%
Corporate (financial)	<b>②</b> 26-50%
	○ 5-25%
	○ More than 0%, less than 5%
	FI 14.2 Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (Corporate, Financial fixed income assets)
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue
	○ >50%
Corporate (non- financial)	○ 26-50%
,a.io.a.i,	
	○ More than 0%, less than 5%
	Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (Corporate, non-
	financial fixed income assets)
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☑ To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue
	○ >50%
Securitised	○ 26-50%
	5-25%
	○ More than 0%, less than 5%
	FI 14.2 Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (Securitised fixed income assets).
	☑ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure



FI 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1.2		
☐ To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue						

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Indicate how you typically engage with issuers as a fixed income investor, or as both a fixed income and listed equity investor. (Please do not include engagements where you are both a bondholder and shareholder but engage as a listed equity investor only.)

#### Select all that apply

**Disclose** 

Type of engagement	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Individual/Internal staff engagements				
	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
Collaborative engagements				
	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
Service provider engagements				

FI 15.2 Indicate how your organisation prioritises engagements with issuers.



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
Size of holdings				<b>V</b>
Credit quality of the issuer				
Duration of holdings				
Quality of transparency on ESG		<b>V</b>		<b>V</b>
Specific markets and/or sectors	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>		<b>V</b>
Specific ESG themes	$\checkmark$			<b>V</b>
Issuers in the lowest ranks of ESG benchmarks				<b>V</b>
Issuers in the highest ranks of ESG benchmarks				
Specific issues considered priorities for the investor based on input from clients and beneficiaries		Ø	Ø	<b>V</b>
Other				

FI 15.3 Indicate when your organisation conducts engagements with issuers.



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non- financial)	Securitised
We engage pre-investment.		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
We engage post-investment.	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
We engage proactively in anticipation of specific ESG risks and/or opportunities.	V	V	Ø	<b>V</b>
We engage in reaction to ESG issues that have already affected the issuer.	V	V	Ø	<b>V</b>
We engage prior to ESG-related divestments.		<b>V</b>	$\square$	<b>V</b>
Other, describe				

FI 15.4

Indicate what your organisation conducts engagements with issuers on.

## Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting a specific bond issuer or its issuer.	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting the entire industry or region that the issuer belongs to.	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>		<b>V</b>
We engage on specific ESG themes across issuers and industries (e.g., human rights).	<b>V</b>		$\square$	<b>V</b>
Other, describe				

FI 15.5

Indicate how your organisation ensures that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.



	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
Ensuring regular cross-team meetings and presentations.	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
Sharing engagement data across platforms that is accessible to ESG and investment teams.	<b>V</b>	V	<b>V</b>	✓
Encouraging ESG and investment teams to join engagement meetings and roadshows.			$\checkmark$	
Delegating some engagement dialogue to portfolio managers/credit analysts.			$\checkmark$	
Involving portfolio managers when defining an engagement programme and developing engagement decisions.		☑	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
Establishing mechanisms to rebalance portfolio holdings based on levels of interaction and outcomes of engagements.	<b>V</b>	☑	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
Considering active ownership as a mechanism to assess potential future investments.				✓
Other, describe				
We do not ensure that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.				

FI 15.6 Additional information.[OPTIONAL]

Metzler Asset Management GmbH has mandated BMO Global Asset Management. BMO Global Asset Management and the portfolio managers themselves discuss business-relevant ESG challenges in their talks with companies. BMO Global Asset Management also exercises voting rights and prepares ESG engagement reports, including the engagement milestones reached.

BMO Global Asset Management's approach to engagement is based on constructive and confidential company dialogue and on building a relationship of trust, where over time we seek to gain a sound understanding of how companies manage the key ESG issues linked to their activities. We will encourage improvement in ESG management should they deem existing practices insufficient to respond to ESG risks and/or opportunities.

Via our engagement partner we are a part of the Climate Action 100+ Group.

We started in 2019 a process to systematically disclose our engagement activities, e. g. by region and topic. Furthermore, a process has been signed-off by the CEO and CIO how engagements without a positive result will be handled in the future. This escalation strategy is part of our public disclosure.

FI 16	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2



FI 16.1

Indicate if your publicly available policy documents explicitly refer to fixed income engagement separately from engagements in relation to other asset classes.

Yes

FI 16.2

Please attach or provide a URL to your fixed income engagement policy document. [Optional]

URL

 $\frac{https://www.metzler.com/de/dam/jcr:21b55b37-2d98-49a9-a575-}{3325c33c3f09/CM11660\%20Responsbile\%20Ownership\%20Policy.pdf}$ 

Attach document

File 1:MAM-CM11660-Responsbile-Ownership-Policy.pdf

 $\bigcirc$  No

# Metzler Asset Management GmbH

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Confidence building measures

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	idenc	e building measures					
CM1 01	Man	adatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General		
CM1 0	CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:						
□ Thi	☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report						
		y assurance over data points from oth nis year	er sources that have	ve subsequently been used in you	ır PRI		
	rd part	y assurance or audit of the correct impr)	plementation of RI	processes (that have been report	ed to the		
		udit of the correct implementation of R he PRI this year)	RI processes and/or	accuracy of RI data (that have be	een		
☑ Inte	ernal ve	erification of responses before submis	sion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)			
(	Who	le PRI Transparency Report has beer	internally verified				
(	Sele	cted data has been internally verified					
☐ Oth	er, spe	ecify					
□ Noi	ne of th	ne above					
CM1 02	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General		
CM1 0	2.1	We undertook third party assurance	on last vear's PRI	Transparency Report			
O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year							
O 8al	ootod (		cananaranay Banar	+			
		data was assured in last year's PRI Tr		t			
	did no	data was assured in last year's PRI Tr ot assure last year`s PRI Transparenc	y report				
	did no	data was assured in last year's PRI Tr	y report				
	did no	data was assured in last year's PRI Tr ot assure last year`s PRI Transparenc	y report		General		
<ul><li>● We</li><li>○ Not</li></ul>	did no	data was assured in last year's PRI Treat assure last year's PRI Transparence above, we were in our preparation	y report year and did not re Public	port last year.  Descriptive			
<ul><li></li></ul>	Man	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency ne above, we were in our preparation adatory  We undertake confidence building r	y report year and did not re Public measures that are u	port last year.  Descriptive			
● We ○ Not  CM1 03  CM1 0	Man  3.1  adher carry	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency ne above, we were in our preparation adatory  We undertake confidence building ransparency Report:	y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are the server a whole public	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in it is in the contained in the contained in it is in the contained in the c	our PRI		
● We ○ Not  CM1 03  CM1 0  □ We extrace	Man  3.1  adher carry to the of which the carry to the of which the carry to the of the carry to	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency ne above, we were in our preparation addatory  We undertake confidence building ransparency Report:  e to an RI certification or labelling schoot independent/third party assurance	y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are the server a whole public	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in it is in the contained in the contained in it is in the contained in the c	our PRI		
● We ○ Not  CM1 03  CM1 0  □ We extract □ ES	Man  3.1  adher carry to the of which the carry to the of which the carry to the of the carry to	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation.  We undertake confidence building row Transparency Report:  e to an RI certification or labelling schoot independent/third party assurance which are included in this year's PRI Trot of holdings	y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are the server a whole public	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in it is in the contained in the contained in it is in the contained in the c	our PRI		
● We  ○ Not  CM1 03  CM1 0  □ We  extract □ ESt □ Oth	Man  3.1  adher carry cts of w G audiner, spe	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency above, we were in our preparation.  We undertake confidence building row Transparency Report:  e to an RI certification or labelling schoot independent/third party assurance which are included in this year's PRI Trot of holdings	y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are the server a whole public ransparency Report	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in it is	our PRI		
● We ○ Not  CM1 03  CM1 0  □ We extract □ ESt ☑ Oth We	Man  Man  3.1  adher carry cts of w G audiner, spechave a	data was assured in last year's PRI Trot assure last year's PRI Transparency ne above, we were in our preparation addatory  We undertake confidence building ransparency Report:  e to an RI certification or labelling schoot independent/third party assurance which are included in this year's PRI Trot of holdings	y report year and did not re  Public  measures that are the server a whole public ransparency Report	port last year.  Descriptive  unspecific to the data contained in it is	our PRI		

Our PRI reporting team consists of the Sustainable Investment Office, Portfolio Management, Reporting and Compliance. In addition, the CIO, the Sustainable Investment Office, the ESG Board of Metzler Asset Management and the Legal department finally approve the PRI Transparency Report.



CM1 04	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1	04.1	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year`s PRI Transparency report?				
○ Wh	ole PR	I Transparency Report will be assure	d			
		data will be assured				
<ul><li>We</li></ul>	do no	t plan to assure this year`s PRI Trans	parency report			
CM1 06	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General	
CM1 (	)6.1	Provide details of the third party assaudit conducted by internal auditors this year)				
		What RI processes have been as	sured			
✓ [	Data re	lated to RI activities				
✓ F	RI polic	ies				
_						
		Specify				
ŀ	Approve	ed by legal and compliance				
✓	RI relat	ed governance				
✓ [	Engage	ement processes				
✓ [	Proxy v	oting process				
	ntegrat	tion process in listed assets				
	Screen	ing process in listed assets				
	Themat	tic process in listed assets				
	☐ Manager appointment process for externally managed assets					
	Other					
		When was the process assurance	a completed/dd/ mr	2/14/		
			e completed(dd/ mi	10 y y )		
31/	12/201	9				
		Assurance standard used				
	IA's Int	ernational Standards for the Profession	onal Practice of Inte	ernal Auditing		
	SAE 3	402				
	SO sta	ndard				
	AAF 01	/06				
	SSE18					
	AT 101	(excluding financial data)				
☑ (	Other					



Specify

We are using the assurance standards which are common in the asset managment industry and are defined by the financial services authorities.

CM1 07 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency CM1 07.1 Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed Who has conducted the verification ☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff Sign-off or review of responses Sign-off ☐ Review of responses ☐ The Board ☑ Investment Committee Sign-off or review of responses ☑ Review of responses ☑ Compliance Function ☑ RI/ESG Team ☐ Other (specify)

